2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assen	ably Joint F	Resolution					
Receiv	ed: 3/26/2	d: 3/26/2013		F	Received By:	smiller	
Wanted	d: As tin	As time permits		S	Same as LRB:	-1978 -2003	
For:	: Jim Steineke (608) 266-2418		I	By/Representing:	Jon Turke		
May Contact:				l	Orafter:	smiller	
Subjec	Subject: Constitutional Amendments			1	Addl. Drafters:		
]	Extra Copies:		
Reques	t via email: ster's email: n copy (CC) to	-	teineke@legis	s.wisconsin	.gov		
Pre To	pic:						
No spe	ecific pre topic	given					
Topic	}						
Standa	ards for conges	ssional, state, ju	dicial and cour	nty recall			
Instru	ctions:						
Per en	nail 3-26-13						
Drafti	ng History:						
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
/1	smiller 3/27/2013	kfollett	jmurphy 3/28/2013		srose 3/28/2013	lparisi 3/28/2013	

FE Sent For:

<**END>**

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assen	nbly Jo	int Res	solution					
Receiv	eceived: 3/26/2013				Received By:	smiller		
Wantee	nted: As time permits			Same as LRB:	-1978 -2003			
For:	or: Jim Steineke (608) 266-2418			By/Representing:	Jon Turke			
May Contact:						Drafter:	smiller	
Subject: Constitutional Amendments				Addl. Drafters:				
						Extra Copies:		
Reques Carbon Pre To	Submit via email: Requester's email: Carbon copy (CC) to: Pre Topic: YES Rep.Steineke@legis.wisconsin.gov							
No spe	No specific pre topic given							
Topic: Standa		ongessic	onal, state, jud	dicial and cour	nty recall			
Instru	ctions:							
Per em	nail 3-26-	-13						
Drafti	ng Histo	ory:						
Vers.	Drafted		Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
/1	smiller 3/27/20	13	kfollett 3/27/2013	jmurphy 3/28/2013		srose 3/28/2013		
FE Sent For:								
				<end></end>	>			

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Assem	bly	Joint.	Reso	lution
-------	-----	--------	------	--------

Received:

3/26/2013

Received By:

smiller

Wanted:

As time permits

Same as LRB:

-1978

For:

Jim Steineke (608) 266-2418

By/Representing: Jon Turke

May Contact:

Drafter:

smiller

Subject:

Constitutional Amendments

Addl. Drafters:

Extra Copies:

Submit via email:

YES

Requester's email:

Rep.Steineke@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC) to:

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Standards for congessional, state, judicial and county recall

, Instructions:

Per email 3-26-13

Drafting History:

Vers. Drafted

Typed Reviewed

Proofed

Submitted

<u>Jacketed</u>

Required

smiller /1

FE Sent For:

<END>

Miller, Steve

From:

Turke, Jon

Sent:

Tuesday, March 26, 2013 4:43 PM

To:

Miller, Steve

Subject:

AJR 63 redraft

Hi Steve-

Sen. Harsdorf and our office will be re-introducing AJR 63 (recalls) from last session. I am hoping we can get a redraft for the Assembly. Their current draft is LRB 1978.

2003

Thanks!

Jon Turke

Office of Rep. Jim Steineke Assistant Majority Leader 608-266-2418



1

2

3

4

State of Misconsin 2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE

in 3-27



ASSEMBLY

2013 STATE JOINT RESOLUTION

Resen/

To renumber section 9 of article IV; to amend section 12 (intro.) of article XIII and section 12 (2) of article XIII; and to create section 9 (2) of article IV of the constitution; relating to: recall of elective officers and a code of ethics for government officials (first consideration).

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This constitutional amendment, proposed to the 2013 legislature on first consideration, limits the grounds for the recall of an incumbent congressional, judicial, or legislative elective officer or any county elective officer specified in the Wisconsin Constitution (elective officer). Currently, a petition for recall may be filed with the officer who receives the nomination petition to the elective office in the primary (filing officer), and need not demonstrate any grounds for the recall of an elective officer. Under this amendment, an elective officer may be recalled only if he or she has been charged with a serious crime or if a finding of probable cause has been made that he or she violated the state code of ethics.

The amendment further requires the filing officer to determine, before a recall election is scheduled, that the petition for recall demonstrates sufficient grounds for recalling the elective officer.

The amendment also requires the legislature to establish a code of ethics for government officials and a board to administer the code.

A proposed constitutional amendment requires adoption by two successive legislatures, and ratification by the people, before it becomes effective.



Resolved by the senate, the assembly concurring, That:

SECTION 1. Section 12 (intro.) of article XIII of the constitution is amended to read:

[Article XIII] Section 12 (intro.) The qualified electors of the state, of any congressional, judicial or legislative district or of any county may petition for the recall of any incumbent elective officer after the first year of the term for which the incumbent was elected, if the officer has been charged with a crime punishable by imprisonment of one year or more, or against whom a finding of probable cause of violation of the state code of ethics has been made, by filing a petition with the filing officer with whom the nomination petition to the office in the primary is filed, demanding the recall of the incumbent.

SECTION 2. Section 12 (2) of article XIII of the constitution is amended to read:

[Article XIII] Section 12 (2) The If the filing officer with whom the recall petition is filed determines that the petition sufficiently demonstrates the grounds for recall, the filing officer with whom the recall petition is filed shall call a recall election for the Tuesday of the 6th week after the date of filing the petition or, if that Tuesday is a legal holiday, on the first day after that Tuesday which is not a legal holiday.

SECTION 3. Section 9 of article IV of the constitution is renumbered section 9 (1) of article IV.

SECTION 4. Section 9 (2) of article IV of the constitution is created to read:

[Article IV] Section 9 (2) The legislature shall provide by law for the establishment of a code of ethics for government officials and the establishment of a board to administer the code.

SECTION 5. Numbering of new provision. If another constitutional
amendment ratified by the people creates the number of any provision created in this
joint resolution, the chief of the legislative reference bureau shall determine the
sequencing and the numbering of the provisions whose numbers conflict.
Be it further resolved, That this proposed amendment be referred to the
legislature to be chosen at the next general election and that it be published for three
months previous to the time of holding such election.
(END)

Please jacket 2000 for Rep. Steineke



1

2

3

4

State of Misconsin 7 2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE (MCC)





2013 ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION 25

changes XJF 2014

Also sec Page Z and 3

April 23, 2013 – Introduced by Representatives Steineke, Ballweg, Born, Brooks, Craig, Czaja, Endsley, Honadel, Jacque, Jagler, Kapenga, Kaufert, Kleefisch, Knodl, T. Larson, Marklein, A. Ott, Petersen, Petryk, Sanfelippo, Severson, Spiros, Strachota, Tittl and Weatherston, cosponsored by Senators Harsdorf, Olsen, Schultz and Tiffany. Referred to Committee on Campaigns and Elections.

To renumber section 9 of article IV; to amend section 12 (intro.) of article XIII and section 12 (2) of article XIII; and to create section 9 (2) of article IV of the constitution; relating to: recall of elective officers and a code of ethics for government officials (first consideration).

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This constitutional amendment, proposed to the 2013 legislature on first consideration, limits the grounds for the recall of an incumbent congressional, judicial, or legislative elective officer or any county elective officer specified in the Wisconsin Constitution (elective officer). Currently, a petition for recall may be filed with the officer who receives the nomination petition to the elective office in the primary (filing officer), and need not demonstrate any grounds for the recall of an elective officer. Under this amendment, an elective officer may be recalled only if he or she has been charged with a serious crime or if a finding of probable cause has been made that he or she violated the state code of ethics.

The amendment further requires the filing officer to determine, before a recall election is scheduled, that the petition for recall demonstrates sufficient grounds for recalling the elective officer.

The amendment also requires the legislature to establish a code of ethics for government officials and a board to administer the code.

A proposed constitutional amendment requires adoption by two successive legislatures, and ratification by the people, before it becomes effective.

Whereas

1

2

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Resolved by the assembly, the senate concurring, That:

SECTION 1. Section 12 (intro.) of article XIII of the constitution is amended to read:

[Article XIII] Section 12 (intro.) The qualified electors of the state, of any congressional, judicial or legislative district or of any county may petition for the recall of any incumbent elective officer after the first year of the term for which the incumbent was elected, if the officer has been charged with a crime punishable by imprisonment of one year or more, or against whom a finding of probable cause of violation of the state code of ethics has been made, by filing a petition with the filing officer with whom the nomination petition to the office in the primary is filed, demanding the recall of the incumbent.

Section 2. Section 12 (2) of article XIII of the constitution is amended to read: [Article XIII] Section 12 (2) The If the filing officer with whom the recall petition is filed determines that the petition sufficiently demonstrates the grounds for recall, the filing officer with whom the recall petition is filed shall call a recall election for the Tuesday of the 6th week after the date of filing the petition or, if that Tuesday is a legal holiday, on the first day after that Tuesday which is not a legal holiday.

SECTION 3. Section 9 of article IV of the constitution is renumbered section 9 (1) of article IV.

SECTION 4. Section 9 (2) of article IV of the constitution is created to read:

 n^{0} $\frac{1}{2}$ n^{2}

4

5

6

7

8

9

[Article IV] Section 9 (2) The legislature shall provide by law for the establishment of a code of ethics for government officials and the establishment of a board to administer the code.

SECTION 5. Numbering of new provision. If another constitutional amendment ratified by the people creates the number of any provision created in this joint resolution, the chief of the legislative reference bureau shall determine the sequencing and the numbering of the provisions whose numbers conflict.

Be it further resolved, That this proposed amendment be referred to the legislature to be chosen at the next general election and that it be published for three months previous to the time of holding such election.

11

10

(END)